

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

, <del>_</del>				
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/772,983	02/05/2004	Adeilton Jorge Martins	132654	5216
75	90 08/02/2005		EXAM	INER
John S. Beulio Armstrong Tea	· <del>==</del>		JOHNSON, JO	ONATHAN J
Suite 2600			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
One Metropolit		•	1725	
St. Louis, MO	63102		DATE MAILED: 08/02/2009	S

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			. (	)				
	Application No.	Applicant(s)						
	10/772,983	MARTINS ET AL.						
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit						
	Jonathan Johnson	1725						
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence ad	ddress					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	86(a). In no event, however, may a reply be time within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days fill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE!	nely filed s will be considered time the mailing date of this o O (35 U.S.C. § 133).	ily. communication	ı				
Status								
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 05 Fe	ebruary 2004.							
,—	action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar		secution as to the	e merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under E	closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims								
4) Claim(s) 1-20 is/are pending in the application.								
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw	vn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
7) Claim(s) is/are rejected.								
8) Claim(s) 1-20 are subject to restriction and/or e	election requirement.							
Application Papers				•				
			•					
9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner		Evaminar						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the company.								
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti			FR 1 121/d	١				
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex				<i>,</i> .				
,								
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		(d) or (f)						
<ul> <li>12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign</li> <li>a) All b) Some * c) None of:</li> <li>1. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>2. Certified copies of the priority documents</li> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the priority</li> </ul>	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National	Stage					
Attachment(s)								
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary							
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P		O-152)					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date	6) Other:		J 102)	_				

### **DETAILED ACTION**

#### Election/Restrictions

Restriction to one of the following inventions is required under 35 U.S.C. 121:

- I. Claims 1-7 are drawn to a method of repairing a component, classified in class
   228, subclass 119.
- II. Claims 8-20 are drawn to a SWET box, classified in class 29, subclass various.

  The inventions are distinct, each from the other because of the following reasons:

Inventions Group II and Group I are related as process and apparatus for its practice. The inventions are distinct if it can be shown that either: (1) the process as claimed can be practiced by another materially different apparatus or by hand, or (2) the apparatus as claimed can be used to practice another and materially different process. (MPEP § 806.05(e)). In this case the apparatus can be used in adhesive bonding.

Because these inventions are distinct for the reasons given above and the search required for Group I is not required for Group II, restriction for examination purposes as indicated is proper.

# <u>IF APPLICANT ELECTS GROUP I, THEN APPLICANT MUST ADDITIONALLY</u> <u>ELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:</u>

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

Ia. Claims 2 and 4 are drawn to the flow of the protective gas.

Application/Control Number: 10/772,983

Art Unit: 1725

Ib. Claim 3 is drawn to a compartmentalized insert.

Ic. Claims 5-7 are drawn to diffuser caps.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

IF APPLICANT ELECTS GROUP II, THEN APPLICANT MUST ADDITIONALLY
ELECT ONE OF THE FOLLOWING:

Application/Control Number: 10/772,983

Art Unit: 1725

This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species of the claimed invention:

IIa. Claim 9 is drawn to a cavity wall.

IIb. Claims 10 and 17 are drawn to a heating source.

IIc. Claims 11-15 and 18-20 are drawn to diffuser caps.

Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claims 8 and 16 are generic.

Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement must include an identification of the species that is elected consonant with this requirement, and a listing of all claims readable thereon, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which are written in dependent form or otherwise include all the limitations of an allowed generic claim as provided by 37 CFR 1.141. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which are readable upon the elected species. MPEP § 809.02(a).

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the inventions unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other invention.

Art Unit: 1725

#### Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jonathan Johnson whose telephone number is 571-272-1177. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th 7AM-5:30 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Tom Dunn can be reached on 571-272-1171. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Jonathan Johnson Primary Examiner Art Unit 1725